, T	United States Attorney
2 3	BRIAN J. STRETCH (CSBN 163973) Chief, Criminal Division
4 5 6 7 8 9	JEFFREY B. SCHENK (CASBN 234355) Assistant United States Attorney  150 Almaden Boulevard San Jose, California 95113 Telephone: (408) 535-2695 Facsimile: (408) 535-5066 Email: jeffrey.b.schenk@usdoj.gov  Attorneys for the United States of America  UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12	SAN JOSE DIVISION  *E-FILED - 2/6/08*
13	
14	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ) No. CR 07-00562-RMW
15	Plaintiff, ) STIPULATION AND [] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME FROM
16	v. ) NOVEMBER 19, 2007 TO DECEMBER 17, 2007 FROM THE SPEEDY TRIAL
17	ALEJANDRO HORTA-GUZMAN, )  ACT CALCULATION (18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A))
18	Defendant.
19	<u> </u>
20	On November 19, 2007 the parties appeared for a hearing before this Court. At that
21	hearing, the government and defense requested an exclusion of time under the Speedy Trial Act
22	based upon the defense counsel's need to effectively prepare by reviewing discovery materials
23	submitted by the government and the need to jointly negotiate a resolution in this matter. At that
24	time, the Court set the matter for a hearing on December 17, 2007.
25	The parties stipulate that the time between November 19, 2007 and December 17, 2007 is
26	excluded under the Speedy Trial Act. 18 U.S.C. §3161, and agree that the failure to grant the

requested continuance would unreasonably deny defense counsel reasonable time necessary for

effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. Finally, the parties agree

that the ends of justice served by granting the requested continuance outweigh the best interest of the public, and the defendant in a speedy trial and in the prompt disposition of criminal cases. 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(8)(A). DATED: November 19, 2007 SCOTT N. SCHOOLS **United States Attorney** JEFFREY B. SCHENK Assistant United States Attorney LARA VINNARD Attorney for Defendant 

Document 21

Case 5:07-cr-00562-RMW

Filed 02/07/2008

Page 2 of 3

**ORDER** 

Based upon the stipulation of the parties, and for good cause shown, the Court HEREBY ORDERS that the time between November 19, 2007 and December 17, 2007 is excluded under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. §3161. The court finds that the failure to grant the requested continuance would unreasonably deny defense counsel reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. Furthermore, the Court finds that the ends of justice served by granting the requested continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial and in the prompt disposition of criminal cases. The court therefore concludes that this exclusion of time should be made under 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(8)(A).

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: 2/6/08